

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,887	11/20/2001	Susana Salceda	DEX-0269	3405	
26259	7590 11/28/2003		EXAMINER		
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET			HORLICK, KENNETH R		
MARLTON,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/001	,887	SALCEDA ET AL.
		Exami	n r	Art Unit
		Kennet	h R Horlick	1637
The MAILING Period f r Reply	DATE of this commu	inication appears on	th. cover sht w	ith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified by the failure to reply within the control of the period by the series of the period by the period for reply repeated by the period for reply received by the period for reply repeated by the period for reply repeated by the period for reply series and the period for reply	pecified above, the maximum	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an bly will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thind will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	o communication(s) fi	iled on 21 October 2	<i>003</i> .	
2a)☐ This action is	• •	2b)⊠ This action is		
	olication is in condition			ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the	application.		
	ove claim(s) <u>6,10-14,</u>	• •	drawn from consi	deration.
5)☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7</u>	<u>7-9 and 15</u> is/are reje	cted.		
7) Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restr	riction and/or election	n requirement.	
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specificati	ion is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s	) filed on is/ard	e: a)□ accepted or	b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may	not request that any obj	jection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
				(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or de	eclaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.0	C. §§ 119 and 120			
1. Certifie	ome * c) None of: d copies of the priorit	y documents have b	een received.	
<ol> <li>Copies applica</li> </ol>	of the certified copiestion from the Internati	s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT R	ments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
13)⊠ Acknowledgme since a specific 37 CFR 1.78.	reference was includ	for domestic priority ed in the first senten	under 35 U.S.C. ace of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.
	lation of the foreign la			
reference was in	nt is made of a claim noluded in the first se	tor domestic priority intence of the specifi	under 35 U.S.C. cation or in an Ap	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References C	Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson' 3) ☑ Information Disclosure	s Patent Drawing Review ( Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>1</u> .	5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) IC Sequence Report - 2 pages

Application/Control Number: 10/001,887

Art Unit: 1637

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15, and SEQ ID NO:64 which encodes SEQ ID NO:127, in the response filed 10/21/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that there is no serious search burden to search all of the groups. This is not found persuasive for the following reasons. First applicants do not address the independence and distinctness of each of the Groups as outlined in the requirement for restriction mailed 09/22/03. Second, the searches are not coextensive as applicants assert. A complete search of the method claims requires more than a search of the sequences, but also includes a search of the methods themselves. Further, there is no right to the search of 10 unrelated sequences in one application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 6, 10-14, 16, 17, and nucleic acid sequences other than SEQ ID NO:64 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the paper filed 10/21/03.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP j 608.01. Embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code appear in at least the following locations: pages 54, 60, and 61.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,887 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

4. Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A) These claims are vague and indefinite because they claim more than was elected.
- B) The recitation of "selectively hybridizes" (claim 1) is vague, indefinite, and incomplete because the term is a relative one and no frame of reference is given. The determination or characterization of selective hybridization requires knowledge or disclosure of other potential hybridization targets and/or probes in the reaction mixture. None is given or mentioned; thus the claim is vague, indefinite, and incomplete.
- C) The recitation of "means for determining the presence of the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1" (claim 15) is vague and indefinite because such means are not clearly defined. While this claim appears to invoke "means plus function" language according to 35 U.S.C. 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph, it cannot be determined from the specification what means are contemplated. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,887

Art Unit: 1637

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Firstly, this rejection applies to the claims insofar as the embodiments in claim 1 of (c) and (d); that is, nucleic acids which selectively hybridize to SEQ ID NO:64, or those having at least 60% sequence identity to said sequence. Although the specification only discloses the sequence of SEQ ID NO:64, these embodiments cover a large genus of related nucleic acids which are not described and were not in applicant's possession. Included in this genus are any number of nucleic acids which have some sequence homology with SEQ ID NO:64, but nonetheless have substantially different and unpredictable properties, such as encoding a polypeptide of substantially or completely different biological function. Thus, the specification does not have written descriptive support for the large genus as set forth in parts (c) and (d) of claim 1.

Secondly, this rejection applies in another manner with respect to claim 3, which requires genomic DNA. The specification fails to describe the complete genomic DNA sequence corresponding to the cDNA sequence of SEQ ID NO:64. Thus, applicants were clearly not in possession of the subject matter as claimed in claim 3.

Art Unit: 1637

## 6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The instant application does not disclose a specific, substantial, and credible utility for the nucleic acid sequence mentioned in the claims. The instant application does not disclose a connection between presence or expression of SEQ ID NO: 64 and breast cancer. For example, none of the tables on pages 116-124 shows such a nexus. The demonstration of expression of a sequence in a specific tissue type cannot be translated to mean that that sequence is necessarily a marker for cancer in that tissue. In addition, the application does not disclose or teach the meaning or significance of any particular assay for expression of SEQ ID NO: 64. Thus, the instant application does not disclose a specific, substantial, and credible utility for SEQ ID NO: 64, nor is there a readily apparent utility under 35 U.S.C. 101 for SEQ ID NO: 64.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The discussion in the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 is incorporated here.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,887 Page 6

Art Unit: 1637

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 99/58675.

These claims are drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule which comprises SEQ ID NO:64, or a nucleic acid that selectively hybridizes to SEQ ID NO:64, or a nucleic acid having at least 60% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:64.

WO 99/58675 discloses the cloning of a human cDNA (SEQ ID NO:1802) which has a region of about 88% identity with instant SEQ ID NO:64 across a portion of about 5% of SEQ ID NO:64 (see abstract, and also two pages of attached sequence analysis and comparison done by the PTO). The nucleic acid taught by WO 99/58675 cannot be distinguished from that being claimed in claim 1, as the noted sequence (due to the noted region of high homology): i) would be expected to "selectively hybridize" to instant SEQ ID NO:64; and ii) has at least 60% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:64. The WO 99/58675 sequence is a cDNA (claim 2) and is human (claims 4 and 5), and this reference further teaches a vector comprising said cDNA, a host cell comprising said vector, and expression of encoded protein using said host cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,887 Page 7

Art Unit: 1637

8. It is noted that SEQ ID NO:64 is free of the prior art, as no prior art has been found teaching or suggesting this exact sequence.

- 9. No claims are allowable.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 703-308-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Kenneth R Horlick Ph. 0.
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1637

11/24/03